## Due Diligence Panel's Assessment and Decision (LEGACIES) 26 July 2017

Proposer: Sarah Slowther, Fundraising Development Manager	Panel's assessment, score and recommendations for mitigating action
Name of deceased:	
	The Panel considered a bequest of £10,000 together with £2000 from previous donations in memory of
Assessment of proposal against 1-6 in process criteria	
1. Identity check section	was a Volunteer Ranger for the Authority.
	No issues identified.
	Score 10
2. Terms of legacy - Fit with PDNPA brand values section	The wish of the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the family family for the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the legacy to be used to carry on work undertaken by the legacy to be used to carry on the
	No issues identified.
	Score 10
3. Conflict of interest/impact on Authority's reputation section	No issues identified.
<ul> <li>Reputation</li> <li>Planning/enforcement history</li> <li>Promotional/campaign/political association</li> <li>Links to Members/staff/volunteers</li> </ul>	Score 10
Conclusions on whether appropriate to develop a relationship with interested party	The Panel agreed that it was appropriate to accept this legacy.  The Fundraising Development Manager will be the accountable person for this legacy.

Scoring: Score out of 10

10 = no issues

1= prohibitive issues meaning cannot proceed whatever the value of other scores

5= satisfactory – some issues but not insurmountable

0= difficult to make judgement from information provided- more information needed